



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/083,134	02/27/2002	Tomi Heinonen	4208-4064	3405
7590 06/16/2004		EXAMINER.		
MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P.			COLLINS, SCOTT M	
345 Park Avenu New York, NY			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
,			2143	77
			DATE MAILED: 06/16/2004	/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_		<u> </u>	114
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/083,134	HEINONEN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Scott M. Collins	2143	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	5
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP	DIVIS SET TO EXPIRE 3 M	IONTH(S) FROM	
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a left of the poly within the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become Alexandre.	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communi 3ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	February 2002.		
	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow			its is
closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.E), 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	t/or election requirement		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	i/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			121/4)
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
The bath of declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attacher	2 Office / Colon of Tellin 1 Tellin 1	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 		} 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr			е
application from the International Bure			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)	_		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/C Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2-6</u>. 		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/083,134

Art Unit: 2143

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-37 examined.

2. It is hereby acknowledged that the following papers have been received and placed of record in the file: Information Disclosure Statements on 02/27/2002, 10/9/2002, 10/8/2003, 10/20/2003, 12/9/2003.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement filed 10/20/2003 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each U.S. and foreign patent; each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered. Specifically, the non-patent publication "Bluetooth – The universal radio interface for ad hoc, wireless connectivity" was not included with the PTO-1449 received on 10/20/2003. The reference was received with another PTO-1449 that was received on 10/08/2003 and also listed the reference. Both PTO-1449 documents have been duly annotated (stricken thru and initialed respectively) by the Examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 5. Claims 1, 26, 33-34, and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Mandato et al., European Patent Application EP 1130869 A1 (herein referred to as Mandato).

Application/Control Number: 10/083,134

Art Unit: 2143

6. Referring to claim 1, Mandato has taught a method in a user's short-range wireless terminal for sharing the user's personal profile with an inquiring wireless terminal in a wireless network (Mandato figure 6), comprising:

- a. installing the user's personal profile in a database of the user's short-range wireless terminal (Mandato page 9, paragraphs 80-85; page 10, paragraph 91);
- b. editing the personal profile in a database of the user's short-range wireless terminal in response to the user's input (Mandato page 9, paragraphs 80-85; page 10, paragraph 91); and
- c. transmitting the user's personal profile from the user's short-range wireless terminal to an inquiring wireless terminal (Mandato page 9, paragraphs 80-85; page 10, paragraph 91).
- 7. Referring to claim 26, Mandato has taught the method wherein the user's short-range wireless terminal and the inquiring wireless terminal register with a server (Mandato figure 6 where the server is shown to mediate all communication between the terminals).
- 8. Referring to claim 33, Mandato has taught a terminal containing personal profiles for access by other terminals in a short-range wireless communication system, comprising:
 - a. a database containing user defined profiles (Mandato figure 6);
 - b. database-managing apparatus to edit the user-defined personal profiles based upon user input (Mandato figure 6 and page 9, paragraphs 80-85; page 10, paragraph 91);
 - c. screen display apparatus which displays the personal profiles for user access (Mandato figure 6 devices with screens); and

Application/Control Number: 10/083,134

Art Unit: 2143

- d. apparatus responsive to inquiries from other terminals for access to the user defined profiles (Mandato page 9, paragraphs 80-85; page 10, paragraph 91);
- 9. Referring to claim 34, Mandato has taught the terminal further comprising:
 - e. records defining each profile stored in the database (Mandato figure 6 where databases are filled with records).
- 10. Referring to claim 37, Mandato has taught the terminal further comprising:
 - h. remote profile storing apparatus which stores the user defined profiles in a remote centralized database for access by the user via a network (Mandato figure 6).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. Claims 2-25, 27-32, and 35-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mandato in view of Haartsen, "Bluetooth- The universal radio interface for ad hoc, wireless connectivity".
- Referring to claim 2, Mandato has not expressly disclosed any teaching regarding Bluetooth. Haartsen has taught about Bluetooth and various Bluetooth devices (Haartsen figures 1 and 9 for specific device examples). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to utilize Bluetooth to connect short-range wireless terminals. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because, as

Application/Control Number: 10/083,134

Art Unit: 2143

Haartsen teaches throughout his article, Bluetooth is an excellent and efficient protocol for connecting short-range wireless terminals (Haartsen abstract and page 110, columns 1-2).

- 14. Referring to claim 3, Haartsen has taught the method which further comprises setting the user's short-range wireless terminal in a state to permit the user's personal profile to be accessed by inquiring wireless terminals (Haartsen pages 114-115, 'Piconets' section).
- Referring to claims 4 and 14, Mandato has taught the method wherein installing the user's personal profile further comprises installing a standardized form of the user's personal profile into the same type of records (Mandato pages 12-13, paragraphs 97-109 where a standard profile entry form is detailed).
- Referring to claim 5, Mandato taught the method wherein said installing the user's profile further comprises including a list of user interest in the records defined by a plurality of fields, each field including a series of attributes, where each attribute is defined by a name, a type, and a value (Mandato pages 12-13, paragraphs 97-109 where a large variety of information can be included in the profile).
- 17. Referring to claim 6, Mandato taught the method wherein said installing the user's profile further comprises including a bit mask characterizing each specified interest (Mandato pages 12-13, paragraphs 97-109 where since the data consists of bits, different interests would be specified by different bits which equate to bit masks).
- 18. Referring to claim 7, Mandato taught the method wherein said installing the user's profile further comprises storing a full complement of personalization data in one record (Mandato pages 12-13, paragraphs 97-109 where a large variety of information can be included in the profile).

Application/Control Number: 10/083,134

Art Unit: 2143

- 19. Referring to claim 8, Mandato has taught the method which further comprises filtering incoming messages (Mandato figure 6 where the server filters and disperses messages to their intended destinations).
- 20. Referring to claim 9, Mandato has taught the method wherein said editing the personal profile further comprises displaying an index screen in the user's terminal to enable the user to access a process screen for editing and removing keywords related to the processes; and editing and updating the personal profiles using a user interface of the user's terminal (Mandato page 10, paragraph 91, and figure 6 where the devices have screens and means to update any processes or profiles.).
- 21. Referring to claim 10, Mandato has taught the method further comprising:
 - a. uploading the personal profiles via a network and storing them at a centralized database (Mandato page 9, paragraphs 80-85; and page 10, paragraph 91);
 - b. enabling editing of the personal profiles on a computer coupled to the centralized database (Mandato figure 6 and); and
 - c. downloading the edited personal profiles to the user's terminal (Mandato page 10, paragraph 91).
- 22. Referring to claim 11, Mandato has taught the method wherein said installing the user's profile further comprises installing the user's personal profile into a database divided into a phone book section containing the user's personal profile and a more detailed data section for detailed personal information (Mandato page 9, paragraphs 80-85, specifically paragraph 82).

Application/Control Number: 10/083,134

Art Unit: 2143

- 23. Referring to claim 12, Mandato has taught the method wherein said installing the user's personal profile further comprises writing generic information such as name and contact information into the phone book section (Mandato page 9, paragraphs 80-82).
- Referring to claim 13, Mandato has taught the method wherein said installing the user's personal profile further comprises writing detailed personal information into the more detailed data section, such as sports interest and hobby interests (Mandato page 9, paragraphs 80-85; page 11, paragraph 91).
- 25. Referring to claims 15 and 17, Mandato has not expressly disclosed object exchange (OBEX) transaction or extended markup language (XML), but both the Examiner takes Official Notice that both of these formats where well known in the art at the time the invention was made.
- Referring to claim 16, Mandato has taught the method wherein said responding step further comprises sending the user information from the user's phonebook, encoded in a vCard electronic business card format (Mandato page 9, paragraph 82; page 12, paragraphs 97-105 where the vCard format was well known in the art at the time the invention was made).
- 27. Referring to claims 18-20 and 23, Mandato has taught the method wherein the user's short-range wireless terminal transfers information from the user's personal profile in a pull or push model to the inquiring wireless terminal and wherein the user's short-range wireless terminal transfers general information to the user's personal profile in a push or pull model to the inquiring wireless terminal, without authentication or encryption (Mandato page 9, paragraph 80-85; and pages 10-11, paragraph 91 where information is delivered by either of these two well-known methods.). Mandato has not expressly disclosed by name the pull or push model of

Application/Control Number: 10/083,134

Art Unit: 2143

transferring information, but the Examiner takes Official Notice that these methods were well known at the time the invention was made and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize them.

- 28. Referring to claims 21-22 and 24-25, Mandato has not any information regarding encryption and only a small amount of information regarding authentication. Haartsen has taught the method wherein more detailed, private information in the user's profile is protected by authentication and encryption (Haartsen page 115, 'Establishing connection' section; and page 117, 'Authentication and Encryption' section).
- 29. Referring to claim 27, Haartsen has taught the method wherein the server provides matchmaking via Bluetooth links to the short-range wireless terminal and the inquiring wireless terminal based on having registered with the server (Haartsen page 115, 'Establishing connection' section; figures 1 and 9).
- 30. Referring to claim 28, Mandato has taught the method wherein the registering includes checking user qualifications for matchmaking (Haartsen page 115, 'Establishing connection' section; figures 1 and 9).
- 31. Referring to claim 29, Haartsen has taught the method wherein when two registered users attempt exchanging privacy sensitive information, they link to the server to obtain a PIN, thereby enabling a Bluetooth authentication procedure for both the short-range wireless terminal and the inquiring wireless terminal (Haartsen page 117, 'Authentication and Encryption' section).
- Referring to claims 30-32, Mandato has taught the method wherein the user's short-range wireless terminal shares general information in its personal profile with the inquiring wireless terminal (Mandato page 5, paragraph 34; and pages 10-11, paragraph 91). Mandato has not

Application/Control Number: 10/083,134

Art Unit: 2143

expressly disclosed the distinction of the user profiles having a first or second level of close matching. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that sharing of more general information requires that the second party be authenticated up to a certain level and the sharing of more private information requires a higher level of authentication.

- Referring to claim 35, Mandato has taught the terminal further comprising a personalization apparatus which sets the terminal in a personalization state to permit access by other terminals in a transaction (Mandato page 10, paragraph 91 where access is permitted to other terminals). Mandato has not expressly disclosed the method for permitting access to the terminal as a personalization apparatus. However, the Examiner takes Official Notice that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to denote Mandato's method as such.
- Referring to claim 36, Mandato has not expressly disclosed searching for other terminals. Haartsen has disclosed the terminal further comprising a searching apparatus which searches the short-range communication network for other terminals having matching personal profiles (Haartsen pages 114-115, 'Piconets' section). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to utilize this standard method of Bluetooth that Haartsen has taught within Mandato's system. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because, as Haartsen teaches throughout his article, Bluetooth is an excellent and efficient protocol for connecting short-range wireless terminals (Haartsen abstract and page 110, columns 1-2).

Application/Control Number: 10/083,134

Art Unit: 2143

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott M. Collins whose telephone number is 703.305.7865. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. 7:30 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A Wiley can be reached on 703.308.5221. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

smc June 11, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100